## $CoPhS \stackrel{\mathsf{Nordic Conference}}{{}_{\mathsf{on PhD Supervision}}}$

Marie Ryberg University of Copenhagen

## Predicaments of independence in PhD education and supervision: Navigating uncertainties of writing in a time of Generative AI

Independence forms a key value in research education and PhD supervision (Elliot et al., 2023; Nerad et al., 2022:20-21). Rooted in pedagogic and political ideals shaping Western modern universities, independence was once associated with the solitude and freedom necessary for research (Clark 2006:446). Recent studies suggest that independence is a more complicated matter entangled in cultural norms and the supervision practices of local environments (Wichmann-Hansen & Nielsen 2023, Bastalich 2017), and highlight the importance of considering its entwinement with uncertainties and well-being (Elliot et al. 2023:4).

Scientific writing has traditionally been a key marker of independent thinking in doctoral education but is also a central source of uncertainty, and increasingly problems of well-being (Barnett 2021:198, Wisker 2019, Wisker & Robinson 2018). With the recent advent of AI technologies capable of generating text, writing practices in higher education are transforming (Chan 2024), promising productivity boosts for weaker writers (Noy & Zhang 2023), but also calling for careful considerations of how to deal with scientific knowledge making and research (Alasadi & Baiz 2023, cf. Jasanoff 2022). Indeed, for doctoral supervision, these transformations raise questions as to how PhD students and their supervisors navigate expectations of independence and uncertainties related to writing and scientific knowledge making.

This paper explores the expectations and experiences surrounding the independence of PhD students, focusing on the uncertainties related to writing and their reconfigurations in relation to text generation AI technologies. It draws on in-depthand ethnographic interviews (Spradley 1979) with PhD students and supervisors in six environments across three Danish universities to account for differences in the dynamics of uncertainty and well-being between environments (Bengtsen & McAlpine 2022, Mackie & Bates 2019).

The paper shows that the use of text generation technologies bring out questions of how to navigate between expectations of independence and experiences of uncertainties for both PhD students and supervisors. It highlights that while text generation technologies offer new ways for doctoral researchers to get feedback in private spheres, thus reducing uncertainties, these technologies also introduce new uncertainties to the process of scientific knowledge making, as well as in the supervisory relationships. The paper argues that the use of text generation technologies interweave with existing cultural norms and social environments of PhD education, and highlights the need to address the uncertainties these technologies may give rise to in supervisory relations, as well as how their use interrelate with questions of being an independent researcher.

## **References:**

Alasadi, E.A. & Baiz, C.R.. (2023). Generative AI in Education and Research: Opportunities, Concerns, and Solutions. Journal of Chemical Education. 100(8):2965–2971.

Bastalich W. (2017). Content and context in knowledge production: A critical review of doctoral supervision literature. Studies in Higher Education, 42(7):1145-1157.

Barnett, R. (2021). Resituating the PhD: Towards an Ecological Adeptness. In R. Barnacle, D. Cuthbert (eds.), *The PhD at the End of the World*, pp. 197-209.

Bengtsen, S. Smedegaard Ernst, & McAlpine, L. (2022). A novel perspective on doctoral supervision: Interaction of time, academic work, institutional policies, and lifecourse. *Learning and Teaching*, *15(1)*, 21-45

Chan, C. & Colloton, T. (2024). Generative AI in Higher Education.

## $CoPhS \stackrel{\mathsf{Nordic Conference}}{{}_{\mathsf{on PhD Supervision}}}$

The ChatGPT Effect. London: Routledge

Clark, W. (2006). Academic Charisma and the Origins of the Research University, University of Chicago Press,

Elliot, D L., Bengtsen, S. & Guccione, K. (2023). Introduction. Researcher independence and interdependence: an oxymoron? In Dely Lazarte Elliot, Søren S. E. Bengtsen, and Kay Guccione (eds.) Developing researcher independence through the hidden curriculum. Palgrave Macmillan

Jasanoff, S. (2022). The Discontents of Truth & Trust in 21st Century America. *Daedalus* 151.4: 25-42

Mackie, S.A., & Bates, G.W. (2019). Contribution of the doctoral education environment to PhD candidates' mental health problems: a scoping review. Higher Education Research & Development, 38(3), 565-578.

Nerad, M., Bogle, D., Kohl, U., O'Carroll, C., Peters, C. & Scholz, B. (2022). Towards a Global Core Value System in Doctoral Education, London: UCL Press

Noy, S. & Zhang, W. (2023). Experimental evidence on the productivity effects of generative artificial intelligence. Science (American Association for the Advancement of Science), Vol.381 (6654), p.187-192

Spradley, J. (1979). The Ethnographic Interview. Belmont: Wadsworth Wichmann-Hansen, G., & Nielsen, K-J. S. (2023). Can hands-on supervision