Workshop: Developing a PhD tutor training program at a Medical Faculty

Christofer Lundqvist¹, Leiv Arne Rosseland², Kåre Moen³ for the appointed PhD tutor training task group at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo

¹Health Services Research, University of Oslo, Campus Akershus University Hospital ²Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo and Critical Care, Oslo University Hospital ³Institute of Health and Society, Department of Community Medicine and Global Health, University of Oslo

Background: The Medical faculty of the University of Oslo aims to improve the competence of its PhD tutors and develop a multi module training course including competence in PhD degree requirements and rules, knowledge, and competence in tutoring methodology as well as understanding of learning processes. The faculty has given the task of developing a comprehensive plan to a specially appointed task group.

Key starting points:

- There are great personal, institutional and societal costs associated with PhD candidates not completing their thesis (Halse & Malfroy, 2010).
- 34,2 % of Norwegian PhD candidates and 40–50 % PhD candidates Internationally do not complete their PhD (Krumsvik & Jones, 2016).
- The PhD tutoring quality affects the candidates progression, non-completion rate and well-being as well as the quality of their projects and their take-away experiences (Ives & Rowley, 2005).
- Hammond et al. (2010) found three ways through which tutors learn to tutor: how they themselves were tutored, through constructiove co-tutorship relations and through reflection of own praxis in workshops and tutoring development programs.
- Many tutors still lean on tutoring models where an assymetric tutor Master Apprentice relationship is central (Hammond et al., 2010; Lave & Wenger, 1991; Vehviläinen & Löfström, 2016).

(Adapted from presentation by Trine Fossland at the Researcher training program, 13.09.2023)

Suggested overall format of the task group:

| 1. Net-resources for PhD tutors | | 2. Basic course for PhD tutors | | 3. Mentor system for new tutors | 4. Yearly mini- conference on PhD tutoring | 5. Research on PhD tutoring |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Starting page with | Net course | Teaching/course | Colleague | Regular meetings | Half to a full day | Furthering |
| links to relevant | (compulsory for | part. | tutoring groups | with experienced | mini-conference | research on PhD |
| resources | all main PhD | | | tutor the first | where the faculty | tutoring |
| | tutors) | | | time as tutor | high lights the | |
| | | | | | importance of | |
| | Inspirational, | · · | Colleague guided | | tutoring. | |
| | knowledge on | small group | discussion groups | | Presentations and | |
| | tutoring skills, | discussions, | of max 6 | | discussions. | |
| | rules, procedures. | thematic lectures, | participants. | | Scientific format. | |
| | Includes | videos/case | Discussion and | | | |
| | examination. | discussions and | exchange of own | | | |
| | | individual tasks. | experiences. | | | |
| | 2-3 hours | 4 days | 3 meetings | Minimum 8 times | | |
| | Compulsory | Compulsory | Compulsory | Compulsory for | | |
| | | | | new tutors | | |

References:

Halse, C., & Malfroy, J. (2010). Retheorizing doctoral supervision as professional work. *Studies in Higher Education*, *35*(1), 79-92.

Krumsvik, J. R., & Jones, L. Ø. (2016). «De beste blant oss» dropper ut. Tidsskrift for Norsk psykologforening, Vol 53, nummer 12,

Ives, G., & Rowley, G. (2005). Supervisor selection or allocation and continuity of supervision: Ph. D. students' progress and outcomes. *Studies in Higher Education*, *30*(5), 535-555.

Hammond, J., Ryland, K., Tennant, M., & Boud, D. (2010). Building research supervision and training across Australian universities. NANNA – mangler det noe her?

Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge university press.

Vehviläinen, S., & Löfström, E. (2016). 'I wish I had a crystal ball': discourses and potentials for developing academic supervising. *Studies in Higher Education*, *41*(3), 508-524.

Wichmann-Hansen, G., Godskesen, M., & Kiley, M. (2020). Successful development programs for experienced doctoral supervisors—What does it take?. *International Journal for Academic Development*, *25*(2), 176-188.